

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,817	02/09/2004	Daniel G. Schmidt	122239.00002	122239.00002 9235	
26710 7	03/13/2006	EXAMINER		INER	
QUARLES & BRADY LLP			BOOSALIS, FANI POLYZOS		
411 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE SUITE 2040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-4497				
			DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/774,817	SCHMIDT ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Faye Polyzos	2884			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHOWHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is in a solid side of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed tom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Fe</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,	•			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 February 2004</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Capital Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to be a considered to be	e: a) accepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Si ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/774,817 Page 2

Art Unit: 2884

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-9, drawn to ionization detection with specific elements, classified in class 250, subclass 374.
- II. Claims 10-18, drawn to testing a radiation energy detector, the testing is distinct from ionization detection with integrated circuitry, classified in class 250, subclass 370.09.
- III. Claims 19-27, drawn to testing apparatus for configuration of a photon and electron detector, the testing is distinct from ionization detection with integrated circuitry and testing radiation energy detectors, classified in class 250, subclass 374.
- IV. Claims 28-36, drawn to wireless beam checking system with specific elements, the system is distinct from ionization detection with integrated circuitry, testing radiation energy detectors and testing for configuration of photon and electron detector, classified in class 250, subclass 370.04.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I, II, III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the inventions bear different apparatus and system design, modes of operations and effect. Invention I discloses an ionization detector formed with integrated circuitry.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,817 Page 3

Art Unit: 2884

Invention II is distinct disclosing a testing instrument for radiation energy detectors.

Invention III is distinct disclosing testing configuration of a photon and electron detector.

Invention IV is distinct disclosing a wire-free beam checker system.

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 5. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 6. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,817 Page 4

Art Unit: 2884

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye Polyzos whose telephone number is 571-272-2447. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FP

OTILIA GABOR
PRIMARY EXAMINER